

ON A PAPER OF HUDSON.

GEORGIY ARYTYUNYANTS AND DMITRY RYABOGIN

ABSTRACT. We present the detailed proof of Hudson's result in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \in L^1(S^1)$, $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be nonnegative functions and let $B_r(x)$ be a ball of radius r with the center at x . Consider the maximal operator

$$M_\Omega f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) \Omega \left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right) dy.$$

It was proved ([2], [3], [4]) that $\Omega \in L \log L(S^1)$ implies a weak-type (1,1) for M_Ω . It is still an open question whether the $L \log L(S^1)$ condition can be weakened to $L^1(S^1)$. In this note we present the Hudson's result proved in [1], which shows that M_Ω has a weak type (1,1) for some $\Omega \notin L^1 \log L(S^1)$. For example, one can take

$$\Omega(x_1, x_2) = g \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right), \quad g(\theta) = \frac{\chi_{(0,1]}(\theta)}{\theta \log^2(\theta/2)}.$$

More precisely, we have

Theorem (Hudson). *Let $g \in L^1([0,1])$ be monotonically decreasing, such that $\theta g(\theta)$ is monotonically increasing, and let $\Omega(x_1, x_2) = g(x_2/x_1)$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \lambda |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : M_\Omega f(x) > \lambda\}| \leq c(\|g\|_{L^1([0,1])} + 1) \|g\|_{L^1([0,1])} \|f\|_1.$$

In the proof we include Lemma 2 which represents an independent interest. In particular, it implies weak-type (1,1) for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

All results can be generalized to higher dimensions.

2. SELECTION PROPERTY.

The following definition was introduced by Hudson.

Definition. We say that Ω has the selection property if for any measurable set $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ ($0 < |D| < \infty$) and any positive measurable function $r(x)$ defined on D , there is a measurable subset $E \subseteq D$ such that

$$(2) \quad |E| \geq a|D|,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20. Secondary 42E30.

Key words and phrases. Maximal functions.

$$(3) \quad S(E, \Omega, r)(y) \equiv \int_{E \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} \Omega \left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \leq A$$

for almost every $y \in \mathbf{R}^2$.

Constants here do not depend on $r(x)$, D , E .

Lemma 1. *If Ω has the selection property, then M_Ω is of weak type (1,1).*

Proof. Let $D = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : M_\Omega f(x) > \lambda\}$. We may assume that D is bounded. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &\leq \frac{1}{a} |E| \leq \frac{1}{a\lambda} \int_E M_\Omega f(x) dx \leq \frac{c}{a\lambda} \int_E \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \int_{B_{r(x)}(x)} f(y) \Omega \left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right) dy = \\ &\frac{c}{a\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} f(y) dy \int_{E \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} \Omega \left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \leq c \frac{A}{a} \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, to prove the Theorem it is enough to show that Ω satisfies the selection property. We emphasize that the construction of E involves no restriction on $\Omega \in L^1(S^1)$ and $a \simeq 1/\|g\|_{L^1([0,1])}$. All geometrical restrictions come from the estimates of (3) with $A \simeq \|g\|_{L^1([0,1])}$.

3. THE DIRAC MASS ESTIMATE

The main idea of the proof is to replace g by Dirac mass δ near the spike. Observe that in this case

$$(4) \quad S(\clubsuit, \delta, r)(y) = \int_{\{x \in \clubsuit : 0 < x_1 - y_1 < r(x_1, y_2), x_2 = y_2\}} \frac{dx_1}{r(x_1, y_2)}.$$

Claim 1 . *If g is replaced by a Dirac mass supported at 0, then Ω has the selection property. More precisely, for any positive measurable function $r(x)$ defined on a set $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ of finite measure there is a set $\tilde{D} \subseteq D$ satisfying the following properties*

$$|\tilde{D}| > c|D|, \quad S(\tilde{D}, \delta, r)(y) \leq C \quad \text{for a.e. } y \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

This claim follows from the Fubini theorem and the following one-dimensional result.

Lemma 2. *Let $r(t)$ be any positive measurable function on a measurable set $D \subset \mathbf{R}^1$ ($|D| < \infty$). Then there exists a measurable subset $\tilde{D} \subset D$ such that*

$$(5) \quad |\tilde{D}| > \frac{1}{20} |D|$$

$$(6) \quad F(y) = \int_{\tilde{D} \cap \{0 < t - y < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} \leq C \quad \text{for almost all } y \in \mathbf{R}^1.$$

Proof. We will define set \tilde{D} as a union of sets $\{\tilde{D}_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$. The procedure described below is a modification of the Calderón-Zygmund stopping time argument. We construct \tilde{D}_i as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_i = \{ & x \in D \mid x \in q \in Q_{i-1} \text{ and } r(x) > |q|\} \cup \\ & \cup \{x \in D \mid x \notin q \in Q_{i-1} \text{ and } r(x) > 2^{-i}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Q_{i-1} is a system of dyadic intervals which we define by induction.

Set $Q_{-1} = \emptyset$ and assume that Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1} have already been constructed. Consider the net of dyadic intervals q with $|q| = 2^{-i}$. The construction of Q_i consists of two steps.

Step 1: We choose from the net all those intervals which do not intersect intervals from Q_{i-1} and for which one of the following conditions holds:

$$(a) \quad |q|^{-1} \int_q F_i(x) dx > 1/2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(x) = \int_{\tilde{D}_i \cap \{0 < t-x < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)}, \\ (b) \quad \frac{|\tilde{D}_i \cap q|}{|q|} > \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: We add all neighbors from the net to the intervals chosen before ($Q_{i-1} \cup \{$ intervals chosen by step 1 $\}$).

Set $Q_i = Q_{i-1} \cup \{$ intervals chosen by step 1 $\} \cup \{$ intervals chosen by step 2 $\}$. If interval q satisfies (a) and (b) we say that it is chosen by (a).

We claim that $\cup_{i=0}^\infty \tilde{D}_i = \tilde{D}$ is the desired set. First of all

$$(7) \quad \tilde{D} \subset D \subset \bigcup_{q \in Q_i, i=0}^\infty q.$$

The first inclusion is obvious. The second one follows from the following argument. Fix any $x \in D$. Assume that $r(x) > 2^{-i}$ for some i and x does not belong to any cube from Q_{i-1} (otherwise we are done). Then $x \in \tilde{D}_i$. Since almost all points of \tilde{D}_i are points of density, there is a dyadic interval $q^* \ni x$, $|q^*| = 2^{-j}$, $j \geq i$ and such that $|\tilde{D}_i \cap q^*|/|q^*| > 1/2$. Since $\tilde{D}_i \subseteq \tilde{D}_j$, $|\tilde{D}_j \cap q^*|/|q^*| > 1/2$. Thus by (b), $q^* \subseteq q \in Q_j$.

So (5) will easily follow from (7) and

$$(8) \quad \sum_{q \in Q_i, i=0}^\infty |q| \leq 20|\tilde{D}|.$$

To prove (8), let us divide the system $\{Q_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ into three disjoint subsystems: $K_1 = \{$ intervals chosen by condition (a) $\}$, $K_2 = \{$ intervals chosen by condition (b) $\}$, $K_3 = \{$ intervals chosen by step 2 $\}$. Then it is obvious that

$$\sum_{q \in K_3} |q| \leq 4 \sum_{q \in K_1, q \in K_2} |q|.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in K_2} |q| &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q \in (Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}) \cap K_2} |q| \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q \in (Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}) \cap K_2} |\tilde{D}_i \cap q| \leq \\ &2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q \in (Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}) \cap K_2} |\tilde{D} \cap q| \leq 2|\tilde{D}|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in K_1} |q| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q \in (Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}) \cap K_1} |q| \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q \in (Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}) \cap K_1} \int_q F_i(x) dx \leq \\ &2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q \in (Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}) \cap K_1} \int_q F(x) dx \leq 2 \int_R F(x) dx = \\ &= 2 \int_R \int_{\tilde{D} \cap \{0 < t-x < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} dx = 2 \int_{\tilde{D}} \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{\{0 < t-x < r(t)\}} dx dt \leq 2|\tilde{D}|. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in Q_i, i=0}^{\infty} |q| &= \sum_{q \in K_1} |q| + \sum_{q \in K_2} |q| + \sum_{q \in K_3} |q| \leq \\ &\leq 5 \left(\sum_{q \in K_1} |q| + \sum_{q \in K_2} |q| \right) \leq 20|\tilde{D}| \end{aligned}$$

and it proves (5).

It remains to show (6). At first we observe that $F(y) > 1/2$ implies $y \in q \in Q_i$ for some $i \geq 0$. Indeed, fix any y such that $F(y) > 1/2$. Since $\tilde{D}_j \subset \tilde{D}_{j+1}$ we have $F_j(y) > 1/2$ for sufficiently large j . By the differentiability of integrals, there is a dyadic interval q^* , such that $|q^*|^{-1} \int_{q^*} F_j(x) dx > 1/2$ and $|q^*| = 2^{-i}$, $i \geq j$. Now $\tilde{D}_j \subset \tilde{D}_i$ implies $|q^*|^{-1} \int_{q^*} F_i(x) dx > 1/2$ and (a) gives $q^* \subseteq q \in Q_i$.

Now we decompose $F(y)$ into two parts. The first part will be estimated pointwise, the second one – by mean. Namely

$$F(y) = \int_{L \cap \{0 < t-y < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} + \int_{H \cap \{0 < t-y < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = F_L(y) + F_H(y),$$

where, $L = \tilde{D} \cap [y, y + 10^2|q|]$ and $H = \tilde{D} \cap [y + 10^2|q|, \infty)$. First, let us show that

$$(9) \quad F_L(y) < C.$$

To do so, let us split L into two subsets L_1 and L_2 , where $L_1 = \tilde{D} \cap [y + \frac{|q|}{2}, y + 10^2|q|]$ and $L_2 = \tilde{D} \cap [y, y + \frac{|q|}{2}]$. Since $r(t) > t - y \geq \frac{|q|}{2}$ for $t \in L_1 \cap \{t \mid 0 < t - y < r(t)\}$, it is easy to see that

$$F_{L_1}(y) = \int_{L_1 \cap \{0 < t - y < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} \leq \frac{2}{|q|} \int_{L_1 \cap \{0 < t - y < r(t)\}} dt \leq \frac{2}{|q|} 10^2 |q| \leq C.$$

On the other hand if $t \in L_2$ then $t \in q \in Q_i$ or $t \in \tilde{q} \in Q_{i+1}$ because of the step 2 in construction of Q_{i+1} . In any case, $r(t) > \frac{|q|}{2}$ and

$$F_{L_2}(y) = \int_{L_2 \cap \{0 < t - y < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} \leq \frac{2}{|q|} \int_y^{y + \frac{|q|}{2}} dt = 1.$$

Combining these two estimates, we get (9).

To finish the proof of the lemma, we should show that

$$(10) \quad F_H(y) \leq C$$

To prove (10), it is enough to show that $F_{H \setminus H_{i-1}}(y) \leq C$ and $F_{H_{i-1}}(y) \leq C$, where $H_{i-1} = \tilde{D}_{i-1} \cap [y + 10^2|q|, \infty)$.

Observe that $F_{H \setminus H_{i-1}}(y) = 0$. Indeed, for any $t \in \tilde{D} \setminus \tilde{D}_{i-1}$, $r(t) \leq 2^{-i+1}$, we have $\tilde{D} \setminus \tilde{D}_{i-1} \cap [y + 10^2|q|, \infty) \cap \{t \mid 0 < t - y < r(t)\} = \emptyset$.

What is left to show is

$$(11) \quad F_{H_{i-1}} \leq C.$$

Let q^* be the closest dyadic interval to q from the right with $|q^*| = 2^{-i+1}$. Since for any $\xi \in q^*$, $H_{i-1} \cap \{t \mid 0 < t - y < r(t)\} \subset H_{i-1} \cap \{t \mid 0 < t - \xi < r(t)\}$, we have

$$(12) \quad F_{H_{i-1}}(y) < \int_{H_{i-1} \cap \{t \mid 0 < t - \xi < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} \leq \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^*} \int_{H_{i-1} \cap \{0 < t - \xi < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} d\xi.$$

Observe that

$$q^* \cap p = \emptyset \quad \text{for any } p \in Q_{i-2} \cup \{\text{intervals chosen by step 1 during stage } i-1\}.$$

Otherwise, by step 2 q would be covered by some interval chosen on stage $l \leq i-1$. This contradicts the choice of q . Thus

So by (12) and (a) in the construction we have

$$F_{H_{i-1}}(y) \leq \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^*} \int_{H_{i-1} \cap \{0 < t - \xi < r(t)\}} \frac{dt}{r(t)} d\xi \leq \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^*} F_{i-1}(\xi) d\xi < \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

Corollary. *Let*

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in I} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(y)| dy$$

be a maximal function, where the sup is taken over all intervals containing x . Then M is of weak-type $(1,1)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that the left maximal function

$$M_l f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{x-r}^x |f(y)| dy$$

is of weak-type $(1,1)$. The reasons which are similar to those in Lemma 1 complete the proof. □

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

The following result is an analogue of the Calderón-Zygmund stopping time procedure. Below $l(q)$ denotes the sidelength of a dyadic cube q .

Claim 2 . *Let $\Omega \in L^1(S^1)$, and let $r(x)$ be any positive measurable function defined on a set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ of finite measure. Then there exist two systems of sets*

$$\{Q_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \{E_i\}_{i=0}^\infty,$$

where Q_i is a system of disjoint dyadic cubes with $l(q) \geq 2^{-i}$, and

$$E_i = \cup_{q \in Q_{i-1}} \{x \in G \cap q : r(x) > l(q)\} \cup \{x \in G \setminus Q_{i-1} : r(x) > 2^{-i}\}$$

such that the following holds:

$$(13) \quad G \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^\infty Q_i,$$

$$(14) \quad |\cup_{i=0}^\infty E_i| \geq \frac{|G|}{50 (\|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^1)} + 1)},$$

for any ancestor \tilde{q} of $q \in Q_i$, and for any neighbor \tilde{q} of any ancestor of $q \in Q_i$, we have

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{|\tilde{q}|} \int_{\tilde{q}} S(E_i, \Omega, r)(y) dy < \frac{1}{2}.$$

We set

$$Q \equiv \cup_{i=0}^\infty Q_i, \quad E \equiv \cup_{i=0}^\infty E_i \equiv \cup_{q \in Q} \{x \in G \cap q : r(x) > l(q)\}.$$

Proof of Claim 2. The construction of E_i, Q_i repeats the construction of \tilde{D}_i, Q_i in Lemma 2. One has only to replace the condition (a) in the described stopping time procedure by

$$\frac{1}{|q|} \int_q S(E_i, \Omega, r)(y) dy > \frac{1}{2}.$$

The proof of (13), (14) is similar to the proof of (7), (8). Relation (15) follows by reasons which are similar to those presented at the end of Lemma 2 (see the estimate for $F_{H_{i-1}}$). □

Claim 3 . *Let $\Omega \in L^1(S^1)$ and let $S(E, \Omega, r)(y) > 1/2$. Then $y \in Q$.*

Proof of Claim 3. Since $E_i \subset E_{i+1}$, we have $S(E_i, \Omega, r)(y) > 1/2$ for sufficiently large i . By the differentiation of integrals $1/|q^*| \int_{q^*} S(E_i, \Omega, r)(y) dy > 1/2$ for some dyadic cube $q^* \ni y$ with $l(q^*) = 2^{-j}, j \geq i$. Now $E_i \subseteq E_j$ implies $1/|q^*| \int_{q^*} S(E_j, \Omega, r)(y) dy > 1/2$ and (a) gives $q^* \subseteq q \in Q_j$. □

The proof of the following claim is based on the fact that $r(x) \simeq l(q)$ near cube q containing y .

Claim 4 . Let $\Omega \in L^1(S^1)$ and let $y \in q \in Q_i$ for some $i \geq 0$. Then

$$(16) \quad S(E \cap B_{10l(q)}(y), \Omega, r)(y) \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^1)}.$$

Proof of Claim 4. Remind that

$$E \equiv \cup_{q \in Q} \{x \in G \cap q : r(x) > l(q)\},$$

and

$$S(E \cap B_{10l(q)}(y), \Omega, r)(y) \equiv \int_{E \cap B_{r(x)}(y) \cap B_{10l(q)}(y)} \Omega \left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)}.$$

Now $x \in E \cap B_{r(x)}(y)$ implies $r(x) \geq l(q)/2$. Indeed, this is obvious if $|x-y| \geq l(q)/2$, since $r(x) > |x-y|$. If $|x-y| < l(q)/2$, then either $x \in q$ or x belongs to the neighbor \tilde{q} of q with $l(\tilde{q}) \geq l(q)/2$ (use Step 2). But $x \in E$, so $r(x) \geq l(\tilde{q})$.

This gives

$$S(E \cap B_{10l(q)}(y), \Omega, r)(y) \leq \left(\frac{l(q)}{2}\right)^{-2} \int_{B_{10l(q)}(y)} \Omega \left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \right) dx = C \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^1)}. □$$

5. ESTIMATES ON THE EXCEPTIONAL SET

In this section all proofs strongly depend on the geometric properties of g announced in the Theorem.

The following claim is based on Claim 1 and Claim 2. Let D be any set of finite measure. We apply Claim 1 to get \tilde{D} . Now, by Claim 2 we get E from \tilde{D} (take $G = \tilde{D}$).

Claim 5 . Let $y \in q \in Q_i$ for some i and let

$$(17) \quad P = \{x \in E : y_2 \leq x_2 \leq y_2 + 2l(q), x_1 \geq y_1 + 2l(q)\}.$$

Suppose also that $g \in L^1([0, 1])$ and $\theta g(\theta)$ is an increasing function. Then

$$(18) \quad S(P, \Omega, r)(y) \leq c \|g\|_{L^1([0,1])}.$$

Proof of Claim 5. Observe that $x \in P \cap B_{r(x)}(y)$ implies

$$2l(q) \leq x_1 - y_1 \leq |x - y| < r(x).$$

This , together with the fact that $\theta g(\theta)$ is increasing gives

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{r(x)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{x_1 - y_1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2l(q)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{2l(q)} \right).$$

By (19) we have

$$S(P, \Omega, r)(y) \equiv \int_{P \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{x_1 - y_1} \right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \leq \frac{1}{2l(q)} \int_{P \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{2l(q)} \right) \frac{dx}{r(x)}.$$

Now we apply the Fubini Theorem to the right-hand side of the above inequality and observe that it does not exceed

$$\frac{1}{2l(q)} \int_{y_2}^{y_2+2l(q)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{2l(q)} \right) dx_2 \int_{\{x_1 > y_1 + 2l(q): x \in P \cap B_{r(x)}(y)\}} \frac{dx_1}{r(x_1, x_2)}.$$

Since $P \subset E \subset \tilde{D}$, the inner integral is bounded by Claim 1. It remains to make a substitution $x_2 - y_2 = 2l(q)\theta$ in the outer integral to get

$$S(P, \Omega, r)(y) \leq \frac{c}{2l(q)} \int_{y_2}^{y_2+2l(q)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{2l(q)} \right) dx_2 = c \int_0^1 g(\theta) d\theta.$$

□

The following statement is an analogue of (10).

Claim 6 . *Let $y \in q \in Q_i$ for some i and let $g \in L^1([0, 1])$ be decreasing. Then*

$$(20) \quad S(H, \Omega, r)(y) \leq C \|g\|_{L^1([0,1])},$$

where $H = E \setminus (P \cup B_{10l(q)}(y))$.

Proof of Claim 6. Let $H_{i-1} = H \cap E_{i-1}$. We show

$$\aleph: S(H \setminus H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(y) \leq C \|g\|_{L^1([0,1])}.$$

$$\beth: S(H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(y) \leq C \|g\|_{L^1([0,1])}.$$

\aleph . By the definition of E_{i-1} , we may assume that $r(x) \leq 2^{-i+1} = 2l(q)$. On the other hand, by the reasons which are similar to those in the proof of Claim 4, we have $l(q) \leq r(x)$. This gives \aleph .

\beth . We claim that

$$(21) \quad S(H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(y) \leq c \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^*} S(H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(\xi) d\xi,$$

where q^* has the same center as q , and $l(q^*) = 3l(q)$.

The above relation reads as follows:

$$\int_{H_{i-1} \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{x_1 - y_1} \right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \leq c \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^*} d\xi \int_{H_{i-1} \cap B_{r(x)}(\xi)} g \left(\frac{x_2 - \xi_2}{x_1 - \xi_1} \right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)}.$$

By Fubini Theorem,

$$\int_{H_{i-1} \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} g\left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{x_1 - y_1}\right) \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \leq c \int_{H_{i-1}} \frac{dx}{r^2(x)} \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^* \cap B_{r(x)}(x)} g\left(\frac{x_2 - \xi_2}{x_1 - \xi_1}\right) d\xi.$$

Thus, to show (21) it is enough to prove that for every $x \in H_{i-1} \cap B_{r(x)}(y)$, we have

$$(22) \quad g\left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{x_1 - y_1}\right) \leq c \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^* \cap B_{r(x)}(x)} g\left(\frac{x_2 - \xi_2}{x_1 - \xi_1}\right) d\xi.$$

In fact, it is enough to prove the last inequality with K instead of q^* , where $K \subset q^*$: $|K| \geq c|q^*|$.

Fix any $x \in H_{i-1} \cap B_{r(x)}(y)$. Define $K(x) \equiv \{\xi \in q^*, \text{ lying above the line } l_{xy}\}$. Since $g(\theta)$ is decreasing observe that

$$g\left(\frac{x_2 - y_2}{x_1 - y_1}\right) \leq g\left(\frac{x_2 - \xi_2}{x_1 - \xi_1}\right) \quad \forall \xi \in K(x).$$

Now let $K \equiv \bigcap_{x \in H_{i-1} \cap B_{r(x)}(y)} K(x)$. By elementary geometry $|K| \geq c|q^*|$. This gives (22). Thus we proved (21).

It remains to show that the mean in the right-hand side of (21) is finite. Let $N(q)$ denote the set of dyadic neighbors of q of the sidelength $l(q)$. Then observe that

$$q^* \subset M \equiv N(\text{father of } q) \cup \text{father of } q.$$

Hence there is a cube $\tilde{q} \in M$ such that

$$(23) \quad \frac{1}{|q^*|} \int_{q^*} S(H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(\xi) d\xi \leq c \frac{1}{|\tilde{q}|} \int_{\tilde{q}} S(H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(\xi) d\xi.$$

We claim that the mean in the right-hand side of (23) is bounded by $1/2$. Indeed, observe that

$$\tilde{q} \cap p = \emptyset \quad \forall p \in Q_{i-2} \cup \{\text{intervals chosen by step 1 during stage } i-1\}.$$

Otherwise, by step 2 q would be covered by some cube chosen on stage $l \leq i-1$, which contradicts the choice of q . This means that

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{q}|} \int_{\tilde{q}} S(H_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(\xi) d\xi \leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{q}|} \int_{\tilde{q}} S(E_{i-1}, \Omega, r)(\xi) d\xi < \frac{1}{2}$$

by (a). The proof of \square is finished. □

6. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

By Claim 3 it is enough to consider $y \in Q_i$ for some $i \geq 0$. We have

$$S(E, \Omega, r)(y) = S(E \cap B_{10l(q)}(y), \Omega, r)(y) + S(P, \Omega, r)(y) + S(H, \Omega, r)(y)$$

where P and H are as above. Now claims 4,5, and 6 complete the proof. □

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Alex Iosevich, Mark Rudelson, and Artem Zvavitch for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Steven Hudson, *A covering lemma for maximal operators with unbounded kernels*, *Michigan Math. J.*, **34** (1987), 147–151.
- [2] Michael Christ, *Weak type (1,1) bounds for rough operators*, *Annals of Math.*, **128** (1988), 19–42.
- [3] Michael Christ, J.-L. Rubio de Francia, *Weak type (1,1) bounds for rough operators II*, *Invent. Math.*, **93** (1988), 225–237.
- [4] Andreas Seeger, *Singular integral operators with rough kernels*, *J. of AMS*, **9** (1996), 95–105.

GEORGIY ARYTYUNYANTS, DEP. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA,
MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: arutyung@math.missouri.edu

DMITRY RYABOGIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA,
MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: ryabs@math.missouri.edu